

United States Patent and Trademark Office

M

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/603,408	06/23/2003	Lawrence J. Mika	60655.1000	4181
	7590 04/02/2007 XPRESS TRAVEL REL	EXAMINER		
c/o SNELL & WILMER, L.L.P.			OSBORNE, LUKE R	
ONE ARIZON 400 E. VAN BI	A CENTER UREN STREET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PHOENIX, AZ	85004-2202	2123		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		04/02/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/603,408	MIKA, LAWRENCE J.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Luke Osborne	2123			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we tree to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Ja	nuary 2007.				
′=	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)[_]	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). sected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachmen	t(s)					
2) Notice 3) Inform	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

Application/Control Number: 10/603,408

Art Unit: 2123

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Status

1. Claims 1-11 are now pending in the instant application.

Claims 1-11 stand rejected.

Applicants' arguments submitted 1/16/2007 have been fully considered, Examiners response is as follows.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

2. Claims 1-6, 8-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Coleman (U.S. Patent No. 5,708,828) of record.

Regarding claim 1, Colman discloses a computer-implemented method for facilitating the translation of files between formats. See figures 2B and 3 and the corresponding portions of Colman's specification for this teaching. In particular, Colman discloses a method for facilitating the translation of files comprising:

 establishing, via a host computer, definitions comprising at least one of sorting criteria, filter criteria, data range, file format, [the present invention can also be used to perform conversions of the file formats] accounting codes, and formatting criteria [adjusting the formatting] [Column 6, lines 9-24]; creating, via said host computer, an interface file including said definitions
 [Figure 3, item 202];

- reading, via said host computer, data from a first source [Figure 3, item
 212];
- reading, via said host computer, said definitions contained in said interface
 file [Figure 3, item 202];
- translating, via said host computer, using only a single translation of said data from said first source to a second source according to said definitions contained in said interface file [Figure 3, item 216] and
 - saving, onto host computer, said interface file [Figure 1].

Applicant's Argument

Coleman does not disclose or suggest at least "translating, via said host computer, using only a single translation of said data from said first source according to said definitions contained in said interface file".

Examiners Response

The Examiner has considered Applicant's arguments and found them to be unpersuasive. Regarding Applicant's characterization of Coleman the Examiner respectively disagrees and traverses Applicant's argument.

Applicant's contend that Coleman uses than a single translation. As claimed the translating is from a first source to a second source according to definitions. Applicant's have not claimed the single translation from an input format to an output format, such that only the output format is natively useable by particular software where the input

format cannot be used, as Applicant's arguments suggest. Even if this were the case then Coleman clearly describes this as the prior art system of Figure 1.

Furthermore, Coleman discloses a single translation from the input format to the pre-defined generic data format as shown by figure 2b.

Regarding claim 2, Colman discloses the method of claim 1 wherein said establishing step comprises:

- receiving¹ information regarding a type of said interface file to be created;
- receiving data regarding a desired file format; and
- receiving formatting data regarding a format of said data being translated [In order to begin the data conversion process, a user is first required to input information regarding the input data in input data format and the desired output data format for the converted data. (Column 8, lines 21-24)].

Regarding claim 3, Colman discloses the method of claim 2 wherein said receiving formatting data step comprises: entering said formatting data for each field of said data being translated [Thus, here the user specifies the data format of the input environment including all of the tables or units and all of the parts or fields of the respective records in each of the respective tables. (Column 8, lines 50-53)].

¹ Examiner notes the amendment to claim 2 changing entering (which requires the user as disclosed) to receiving, receiving has been interpreted as –receiving user—as is consistent with the specification.

Regarding claim 4, Colman discloses the method of claim 3, wherein said formatting data comprises:

- a field name;
- a description;
- a length of the field;
- an indicator of fixed-width/variable width status;
- a mask to force data into a particular style;
- justification information;
- position information; and
- element information [Thus, here the user specifies the data format of the input environment including all of the tables or units and all of the parts or fields of the respective records in each of the respective tables. (Column 8, lines 50-53)] [The present invention also includes a data mapping object for records which utilize this field definition referred to herein as parts. Parts define the actual interworkings of a record, i.e., the type, the size, and the format of a respective record. In the example described above, the parts of the above employee data record would be the name field, the social security number field, the salary field, the date of birth field, etc. (Column 8, lines 5-11)].

Regarding claim 5, Colman discloses the method of claim 4 wherein said element information comprises:

Application/Control Number: 10/603,408 Page 6

Art Unit: 2123

information regarding a type of said data in said field, wherein said
 information comprises at least one of:

- a calculated expression;
- a static table entry;
- alphanumeric information; and
- a query [Thus, here the user specifies the data format of the input environment including all of the tables or units and all of the parts or fields of the respective records in each of the respective tables. (Column 8, lines 50-53)] [The present invention also includes a data mapping object for records which utilize this field definition referred to herein as parts. Parts define the actual interworkings of a record, i.e., the type, the size, and the format of a respective record. In the example described above, the parts of the above employee data record would be the name field, the social security number field, the salary field, the date of birth field, etc. (Column 8, lines 5-11)].

Regarding claim 6, Colman discloses the method of claim 2 further comprising:

- selecting a template file as a starting point for creating the interface file
 [Figure 3, item 200 202];
- entering data regarding a sorting preference [Figure 3, item 204 208];
 - entering data regarding a filtering preference [Figure 3, item 204 208]

Application/Control Number: 10/603,408

Art Unit: 2123

Regarding claim 8, Colman discloses the method of claim 1 wherein said translating step further comprises:

creating said second source of a predetermined type;

writing said data to said second source; and,

saving said second source [In step 218 the converted output data is stored in a destination medium. It is noted that this destination medium may be the same medium on which the input data was stored or may be a new medium (second source) (Column 15, lines 15-18)].

Regarding claim 9, Colman discloses the method of claim 1 wherein said step of establishing definitions further comprises establishing definitions having a property relating to a data field [the present invention can also be used to perform conversions of the file formats ... adjusting the formatting (Column 6, lines 9-24)].

Regarding claim 10, Colman discloses the method of claim 9 wherein said property includes at least on of

– field name, description, status, length flag, length, minimum length, maximum length, format mask, justification, pad character, quote, delimiter flag, start position, end position, counter interment flag, element, query field, static table entry, counter, fixed test, expression, character function and common expression [the present invention uses field definition data objects referred to as

parts which define the inner workings of a record, i.e., the type, the size, and the format, etc. of each field in a record (Column 2, line 57- Column 3, line 5)].

Regarding claim 11, Colman discloses the method of claim 1 wherein said step of creating, via said host computer, an interface file includes creating only one interface file [Figure 3, item 202].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Coleman in view of Free On-Line Dictionary of Computing definition of the term "wizard" http://foldoc.doc.ia.ac.uk/foldoc/foldoc.cgi?wizard printed 6/1/05, created (1998-09-07) hereafter "FOLDOC".

Regarding claim 7, Coleman discloses the method of claim 1 wherein said step of establishing definitions comprises establishing definitions based upon a user via a user interface.

Application/Control Number: 10/603,408 Page 9

Art Unit: 2123

Coleman, does not expressly teach that the [step of establishing definition comprises establishing definition based upon a response to a question presented to a user via a user interface (emphasis added)].

FOLDOC teaches the use of a "wizard" or [FOLDOC: an interactive help utility that guides the user through a potentially complex task ... (4th definition)]

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use the "wizard" functionality as disclosed by FOLDOC with the system as described by Coleman.

The motivation for doing so would have been to allow an average user (one with out particular knowledge of the complexities of a system as required by Coleman Figure 3, items 204-208) to perform expertly as disclosed by FOLDOC [4th definition, lines 3-5].

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Luke Osborne whose telephone number is (571) 272-4027. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul L. Rodriguez can be reached on (571) 272-3753. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/603,408 Page 11

Art Unit: 2123

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

LRO

PAUL RODRIGUEZ SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100